



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/916,785	07/27/2001	Gadiel Seroussi	10010554-1	8810

7590 06/27/2006

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY
Intellectual Property Administration
P.O. Box 272400
Fort Collins, CO 80528-9599

EXAMINER

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 06/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action
After the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.

09/916,785

Examiner

Jeffery Williams

Applicant(s)

SEROUSSI ET AL.

Art Unit

2137

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

The reply filed 15 December 2005 is acknowledged.

1. ☒ The reply filed on or after the date of filing of an appeal brief, but prior to a final decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, will not be entered because:

a. ☒ The amendment is not limited to canceling claims (where the cancellation does not affect the scope of any other pending claims) or rewriting dependent claims into independent form (no limitation of a dependent claim can be excluded in rewriting that claim). See 37 CFR 41.33(b) and (c).

b. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is not timely filed before the filing of an appeal brief.
See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(2).

2. ☐ The reply is not entered because it was not filed within the two month time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.39(b), 41.50(a)(2), or 41.50(b) (whichever is appropriate). Extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) are not available.

Note: This paragraph is for a reply filed in response to one of the following: (a) an examiner's answer that includes a new ground of rejection (37 CFR 41.39(a)(2)); (b) a supplemental examiner's answer written in response to a remand by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences for further consideration of rejection (37 CFR 41.50(a)(2)); or (c) a Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences decision that includes a new ground of rejection (37 CFR 41.50(b)).

3. ☐ The reply is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

4. ☒ Other: The amendment of claim 11, filed after the final office action along with the appeal brief, raises new issues that would require further consideration and search and does not place the application better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. Specifically, the limitations added in amendment raise issues regarding a lack of antecedent basis for claim terminology (i.e. antecedent basis does not exist for "the additional compressors for each of the one or more additional environmental sensors"). Additionally, the added limitation changes the scope (i.e. a plurality of compressors for each of the one or more additional sensors VS. a singular compressor for each of the one or more additional sensors) of claims 11 – 13 and has not been previously considered by the examiner


EMMANUEL L. MOISE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER